

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/727,099	KAKUMANI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phillip H. Nguyen	2191	

All Participants:
Status of Application: After Final

 (1) Phillip H. Nguyen.

 (3) Daniel D. Ledesma (Reg. No. 57,181).

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 January 2008
Time: 11:45
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 Sixth paragraph

Claims discussed:

46 and 52

Prior art documents discussed:

Baker (US 7,240,336)
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
A telephonic conversation with Daniel D. Ledesma on 1/4/2008 to discuss the allowability of independent claims 46 and 52 if they are amended to include at least one processor. An authorization for examiner's amendment was given by Daniel D. Ledesma on 1/4/2007. The examiner's amendment is necessitated to further clarify the apparatus claims..